



2004 REPORT on the MINNESOTA LEGISLATURE

by the
LEGISLATIVE EVALUATION ASSEMBLY
of MINNESOTA, INC

for an
INFORMED CITIZENRY



established 1972

CREDO – *The Legislative Evaluation Assembly of Minnesota, Incorporated (LEA) is a non-profit, non-partisan corporation established to keep the citizens of Minnesota informed of both important legislation and the voting performance of each Senator and Representative in the Minnesota State Legislature. LEA bases its evaluation on the traditional American principles of constitutionalism, limited government, free enterprise, legal and moral order with justice and individual liberty and dignity for all. LEA encourages the use of the material in this Report, in whole or in part, by any group or individual.*

2004 HONOREES

SENATE

There were no Senate Honorees

Hon. Mention:

*Bachmann; Dille; Limmer;
Michel; Ourada; Reiter*

HOUSE



Anderson, B



Borrell



Buesgens*



Eastlund



Erickson



Finstad



Holberg*



Jacobson*



Krinkie*



Lindner*



Olson, M*



Seifert



Wilkin



Zellers

Hon. Mention:

Adolphson; Severson

Note: An asterisk () indicates a 100% score*

2004 LEGISLATIVE REVIEW

This LEA report is later than usual because the threat of a special session, ostensibly to pass a bonding bill and cover some departments' budget shortfalls, lingered through most of the summer. When an agreement could not be reached on the agenda of a special session, lo and behold, the governor found ways to reallocate funds without the legislature appropriating more. There are advantages in having a governor who can manage a budget without raising taxes.

As for the urgency of passing a bonding bill, remember the legislature foolishly authorized bonding in 2003—traditionally a non-bonding bill year—exploiting Gov. Pawlenty's reluctance to veto pork barrel projects. Very little got done this year. So little that the LEA was left with fewer meaningful votes for evaluating legislators than ever before. Years from now, scholars just might conclude that mourning doves were more impacted by the 2004 session than people.

Though conservatives lost political momentum this year and the judiciary overturned the law streamlining the permit process for carrying handguns, at least most of 2003's wins were sustained.

The central character responsible for this year's standoff was Senate Majority Leader, Dean Johnson, who repeatedly chose confrontation over negotiation. Originally a moderate, Dean Johnson has converted to the point where he is now a powerful advocate for the agenda of

liberals. The biggest victory he won for his caucus came from leading aggressive opposition to Pawlenty's administrative appointees, resulting in the resignation of Public Safety Commissioner Rich Stanek and the defeat of Education Commissioner Cheri Pierson Yecke.

The Senate got Gov. Pawlenty to settle for replacements who will be less vocal opponents of the liberals' agenda. The Senate also blocked votes on a bill that would have trimmed many government agencies and improved our voting procedures. Finally, the Senate refused to schedule votes that would have allowed the constitutional issues of defining marriage or allowing initiative and referendum to be decided on the general-election ballot.

Since the beginnings of the Minnesota legislature, a number of people have observed that, during the first two years following an election of state senators, the Senate is not very responsive to the concerns of the general public, because none of the Senate's members are up for re-election and, therefore, can't be held accountable in the next election cycle. In contrast, all House members must garner voter support each election cycle. Perhaps if Senate terms were staggered, the Senate's agenda would correspond more consistently to what actually matters.

As for Gov. Pawlenty and his agenda, there are increasing signs of taking positions on issues favored by media elites, at the expense of leading on more conservative principles. Three examples would be his green-tinged support for expanded phosphorus bans, his support for commuter rail, and his efforts to broker the types of stadium-subsidy deals he opposed earlier in his political career.

Perhaps the most telling example of media-driven policy was one of his few vetoes. HF 1861 would have added two more activities—rock-climbing and cave-exploring—to the list of recreational uses (hunting snowmobiling, etc.) that property owners could allow without risking excessive liability claims. Two days after three teenagers died trespassing into St. Paul caves that were clearly

marked as off-limits, Pawlenty showed his “sensitivity” by vetoing a solid bill which received full committee hearings and unanimous support in the House and Senate. It was a classic example of emotionally hard cases making for bad policy, but a good public-relations opportunity.

Next year, LEA expects to see more bonding requests, increased zealotry against smoking in any public places, another push for raising gas taxes and subsidizing stadiums, and a strong effort by the state to get a greater portion of the gambling revenues. We anxiously await a conservative counteroffensive, but wonder who will lead it. Hopefully, it will be the ones honored for their 2004 performance.

2004 VOTES

1. SF 2780; HF 2558. Science and History Standards.

Sen. Hann; Rep. Sykora. Since the Profile of Learning was eliminated, Minnesota has been developing content rich standards for our K-12 schools to be in compliance with the federal law, No Child Left Behind (NCLB). Last year, the standards were developed for English and Math. This year’s Science and History standards were highly controversial, especially the History standards. The first draft of the history standards conformed closely to LEA’s credo, focusing on traditional American principles. Therefore, LEA has chosen to score the Hann Amendment (Senate) and the Buesgens Amendment (House), which would have adopted the first draft for the History standards.

LEA favored a YES vote. The Amendment failed in the Senate 31-35 and in the House 32-98

2. Senate Confirmation vote on the Commissioner of Education.

After serving 15 months, the Senate voted not to confirm Commissioner of Education, Dr. Cheri Yecke. The vote was strictly on party lines 31-35 and the Commissioner was not confirmed. LEA favored confirmation.

3. Larson and Carlson amendments to HF 2991—State Bonding for Building and Acquisition.

Sen. Larson; Rep. Carlson. There are two keys to judging the fiscal responsibility of bonding bills—the overall amount of borrowing by the state, and prioritizing borrowing projects on the basis of statewide governmental significance. Unfortunately, former Gov. Ventura’s vetoes of many projects were superseded by the special-session bonding in 2003, and by a resurgence of pet-project requests. While the House bonding package came in the lowest at \$678 million, (followed by the Governor’s at \$689), it contained such pork-barrel items as the Blue Earth fire station, the Bloomington ski jump, and the Rushford institute for nanotechnology. Gov. Pawlenty wanted a big investment in Northstar Corridor commuter rail—despite the fact that it is the most expensive mode of ground transportation for moving people—along with more money for expansion of the Minnesota Zoo. The Senate wanted a lot of extra projects on top of those supported by the House and the Governor.

LEA is scoring Senate and House members on amendments relating to the size and scope of the bill. LEA preferred a YES vote on the Larson amendment in the Senate that would have replaced the Senate’s bonding package with the smaller package favored by the Governor. It failed 29-36. LEA preferred a NO vote on the Carlson amendment in the House that would have added a long-term commitment to commuter rail without offsetting cuts in other low-priority projects. It failed 58-75.

4. HF 2684. Omnibus State Government Finance Bill.

Rep. Haas. In order to put the state on better fiscal ground and not raise taxes, this bill would have reduced by 3% the administrative budgets of

almost every executive-branch agency in Minnesota. It also authorized even deeper cuts if the state did not begin to sell off some of the excess land it owns. The bill would have required state employees to more promptly account for fringe-benefit use of state vehicles, and discouraged the habit of frivolous special sessions by capping housing allowances for legislators at a 6-month maximum per year.

The bill also contained some welcome improvements in elections policies. To improve confidence in the integrity of the voting process, it authorized the Secretary of State to acquire and implement systems in conformity with the federal Help America Vote Act. Moreover, it would have reduced general-fund subsidies to campaigns, prohibited contributions to candidates by foreign or tribal governments operating a business concern, limited potential election dates to 4 specific dates per year (except for special elections to fill vacancies), and require the same polling places for any election.

In general, LEA frowns on lumping a lot of policy changes into omnibus bills, but at least these changes seem to be positive in nature. Therefore, we believe a YES vote was in order for the bill. It never got a vote in the Senate but passed in the House 90-41.

5. SF 1907; Fair Campaign Practices.

Sen. Higgins. This bill is intended as an administrative solution related to violations of fair campaign practices by appointment of a Board to handle complaints. It removes the mandate that the county attorney investigate violations of local election campaign finance reporting.

While reform of the oversight of campaign practices may be in order, LEA does not feel that this is the solution. First, it removes oversight from the local level and places it on the state level, creating more state bureaucracy and less local control. Second, even though in name, the appointments are to be made to the Board by the governor, this bill removes investigation from the judicial branch of the government and puts the Board under the ultimate control of the legislature, the same body whose elections it ostensibly will supervise, thus eliminating a check and balance on power. It is a conflict of interest that could likely favor incumbents.

LEA favored a NO vote. It passed in the Senate 58-2 and in the House 122-8.

6. SF 1565. Initiative & Referendum (Constitutional Amendment).

Sen. Kleis. This bill proposed that citizens vote on a constitutional amendment that would have allowed Initiative and Referendum (I&R), and Repeal. No longer could a powerful vested interest prevent any attempts to change a law just by getting a governor or a legislative committee chairperson to obstruct it. The specifics of SF 1565 were drafted in such a way that, unlike California and some other states, I&R would only be a last resort. Some argued that any attempt to modify the

Constitution deserves extra scrutiny, but legislators who disagreed with some details of SF 1565 can't use that as an excuse for a NO vote.

LEA is scoring the Senate on the vote to bring this bill to the floor, where members who disagreed with it could have had the opportunity to amend it. Instead the Senate refused, on a 25-38 vote to even allow debate on this very important measure. LEA thought that there should have been a YES vote to at least bring the bill to the floor, regardless of specific objections.

7. SF 2715; HF 2798. Gay Marriages.

Sen. Bachmann; Rep. Holberg. The Massachusetts Supreme Court forced gay marriages on Massachusetts despite their law prohibiting gay marriage (DOMA). Several cities have issued marriage licenses to same-sex couples. In anticipation of a similar lawsuit, this bill was introduced.

If the bill had passed both houses, the voters would have decided if the state's constitution should be amended to define marriage as between one man and one woman. The issue of amending the constitution divided conservatives. It is LEA's belief that the framers allow amending the constitution for such important issues as this.

Traditional marriage is the bedrock institution that stabilizes families and society. It is worthy of protecting. The Senate majority blocked several attempts to bring this bill to a vote on the Senate floor. The DFL majority leadership stated that DFL Senators would not even take part in a special session if the issue of gay marriage was brought up.

LEA is scoring the March 22nd Bachmann motion to withdraw the bill from the Judiciary Committee and place it on the general orders calendar in the Senate. The motion failed 31-35. The House passed the bill 88-42. LEA favored a YES vote on both actions.

8. HF 352. Parental Rights.

Rep. Wilkin. With this bill minors would have needed to obtain parental consent for family planning services (birth control and abortions). Present law even denies parents the right to be informed about medical care that their children are obtaining. This bill would have also allowed parents to obtain all their children's medical records. Currently, parents only have limited access.

LEA favored a YES vote. It passed in the House 86-47 and was never voted on in the Senate.

9. HF 2005. Phosphorus prohibitions extended statewide.

Sen. Sparks; Rep. McNamara. Emboldened by passage of the first set of phosphorus restrictions in 2002, the anti-phosphorus zealots are ready to tighten the exceptions and extend the prohibition of non-agricultural use of phosphorus fertilizers to a statewide level. As Rep. Kuisle noted, restrictions are unenforceable, and the only ones not yet conquered by this strategy are farmers. Unfortunately, all turf growers have to defer to fertilizing practices recommended by the state, instead of being trusted to reasonably develop and judge the efficacy of their own practices. Unless sod growers and golf courses can absorb the costs of extra testing and licensing, so that they can convince regulators that their use of phosphorus is justifiable, they are presumed to be fools that must be re-educated by the regulators.

LEA opposed this legislation, viewing it as a classic example of big-government attacking potential or localized nuisances with statutory and regulatory overkill. Unfortunately, it passed in the Senate 64-0 and in the House 88-40.

2004 LEGISLATIVE REPORT

Legislative Evaluation Assembly
20900 Dubarry Trail
Farmington, MN 55024
Ph. (651) 480-1830

CONTACT:
This report may be copied or purchased.

SENATE

KEY

D - Democratic-Farmer-Labor

R – Republican

IP – Independence

Y = Yes vote *favored* by LEA

y = Yes vote *not favored* by LEA

N = No vote *favored* by LEA

n = No vote *not favored* by LEA

-- indicates legislator excused, absent, or not voting

'04% = % of legislator's votes favored by LEA in 2004 session

C% = legislator's career average LEA score

LEA calculates the voting percentages using votes actually cast by each legislator and then deducting two percentage points for each time that legislator did not cast a vote.

Honorees for 2004 scored **85% or higher**, those receiving honorable mentions scored at least 80%.

Pty	dist	name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	'04%	C%
D	66	Anderson, E. (St. Paul)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	9
R	52	Bachmann, M. (Stillwater)	Y	Y	Y		--	Y	Y		y	81	68
D	6	Bakk, T. (Cook)	n	n	n	N	y	n	n	N	y	0	25
R	40	Belanger, W. (Bloomington)	Y	Y	Y	O	y	n	Y	O	y	57	63
D	61	Berglin, L. (Minneapolis)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	12
D	51	Betzold, D. (Fridley)	n	n	Y	S	y	n	n	S	y	14	9
D	50	Chaudhary, S. (Fridley)	n	n	n	E	y	n	n	E	y	0	17
D	64	Cohen, R. (St. Paul)	n	n	n	N	y	n	n	N	y	0	7
R	26	Day, D. (Owatonna)	Y	Y	Y	A	y	Y	Y	A	y	71	67
D	60	Dibble, D. S. (Minneapolis)	n	n	n	T	y	n	n	T	y	0	4
R	18	Dille, S. (Dassel)	Y	Y	Y	E	--	Y	Y	E	y	81	59
R	14	Fischbach, M. (Paynesville)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		y	71	74
D	47	Foley, L. (Coon Rapids)	n	n	n	V	y	n	n	V	y	0	20
R	21	Frederickson, D. (New Ulm)	Y	Y	Y	O	y	n	--	O	y	48	58
R	43	Gaither, D. (Plymouth)	Y	Y	Y	T	y	Y	Y	T	y	71	73
R	42	Hann, D. (Eden Prairie)	Y	Y	Y	E	y	Y	Y	E	y	71	77
D	58	Higgins, L. (Minneapolis)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	9
D	23	Hottinger, J. (Mankato)	n	n	n		--	n	n		y	-2	17
D	13	Johnson, Dean (Willmar)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	50
R	49	Johnson, Debbie (Ham Lake)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		y	71	65
R	48	Jungbauer, M. (East Bethel)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		y	71	74
D	44	Kelley, S. (Hopkins)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	16
R	31	Kierlin, B. (Winona)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		y	71	49
IP	30	Kiscaden, S. (Rochester)	n	n	--		--	n	Y		--	19	47
R	15	Kleis, D. (St. Cloud)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		y	71	71
R	37	Knutson, D. (Burnsville)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		y	71	71
R	12	Koering, P. (Fort Ripley)	Y	Y	Y	N	y	Y	Y	N	y	71	76
D	20	Kubly, G. (Granite Falls)	n	n	n	O	y	n	n	O	y	0	17
D	9	Langseth, K. (Glyndon)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	33
R	10	Larson, C. (Fergus Falls)	Y	Y	Y	S	y	--	Y	S	y	65	63
R	56	LeClair, B. (Woodbury)	Y	Y	n	E	y	Y	Y	E	y	57	75
R	32	Limmer, W. (Maple Grove)	Y	Y	Y	N	--	Y	Y	N	y	81	82
D	8	Lourey, B. (Kerrick)	n	n	n	A	--	n	n	A	y	-2	15
D	57	Marko, S. (Cottage Grove)	--	n	n	T	y	n	n	T	y	-2	20
D	54	Marty, J. (Roseville)	n	n	n	E	y	n	n	E	y	0	12
R	38	McGinn, M. (Eagan)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		y	71	76
D	39	Metzen, J. (S. St. Paul)	n	n	n	V	y	n	n	V	y	0	25
R	41	Michel, G. (Edina)	Y	Y	Y	O	y	Y	Y	O	--	81	74
D	67	Moua, M. (St Paul)	n	n	n	T	y	n	n	T	y	0	9
D	28	Murphy, S. (Red Wing)	n	n	n	E	y	n	n	E	y	0	17
R	25	Neuville, T. (Northfield)	Y	Y	Y		y	--	Y		y	65	65
R	17	Nienow, S. (Cambridge)	Y	Y	n		N	Y	Y		y	71	77
R	33	Olson, G. (Minnetrista)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		y	71	76
R	34	Ortman, J. (Chanhausen)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		y	71	74
R	19	Ourada, M. (Buffalo)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		--	81	67
D	65	Pappas, S. (St. Paul)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	6
R	36	Pariseau, P. (Farmington)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		y	71	71
D	59	Pogemiller, L. (Minneapolis)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	6
D	63	Ranum, J. (Minneapolis)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	11
R	53	Reiter, M. (Shoreview)	Y	Y	--		N	Y	Y		y	81	78
D	45	Rest, A. (New Hope)	n	n	n	N	y	n	n	N	y	0	21
R	35	Robling, C. (Prior Lake)	Y	Y	Y	O	y	Y	Y	O	y	71	70
R	24	Rosen, J. (Fairmont)	Y	Y	Y		y	--	Y		y	65	74
R	4	Ruud, C. (Breezy Point)	Y	Y	Y	S	y	Y	Y	S	y	71	79
D	11	Sams, D. (Staples)	n	n	n	E	y	n	n	E	y	0	35
D	3	Saxhaug, T. (Grand Rapids)	n	--	n	N	--	n	n	N	y	-4	23
D	46	Scheid, L. (Brooklyn Park)	n	n	n	A	y	n	n	A	y	0	16
R	29	Senjem, D. (Rochester)	Y	Y	Y	T	y	--	Y	T	y	65	70
D	2	Skoe, R. (Clearbrook)	n	n	n	E	y	n	n	E	y	0	21
D	62	Skoglund, W. (Minneapolis)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	9
D	7	Solon, Y. (Duluth)	n	n	n	V	y	n	n	V	y	0	16
D	27	Sparks, D. (Austin)	n	n	n	O	y	n	n	O	y	0	22
D	1	Stumpf, L. (Thief Rvr. Falls)	n	n	n	T	y	n	n	T	y	0	29
D	5	Tomassoni, D. (Chisholm)	n	n	n	E	y	n	n	E	y	0	19
D	22	Vickerman, J. (Tracy)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	41
R	16	Wergin, B. (Princeton)	Y	Y	Y		y	Y	Y		y	71	77
D	55	Wiger, C. (N. St. Paul)	n	n	n		y	n	n		y	0	24

HOUSE

Pty	Dist.	Name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	'04%	C%
R	48B	Abeler, J. (Anoka)	n		y	Y	y		Y	Y	y	43	56
R	43B	Abrams, R. (Minnetonka)	n		N	Y	y		Y	n	y	43	53
R	42A	Adolphson, P. (Minnetonka)	Y	N	N	Y	--	N	Y	Y	y	81	84
R	19A	Anderson, B. (Buffalo Twp)	Y	O	N	Y	N	O	Y	Y	--	98	93
D	3A	Anderson, I. (International Falls)	n		y	n	y		n	Y	N	29	34
R	27B	Anderson, J. (Austin)	n	H	N	Y	y	H	Y	Y	N	71	75
D	39B	Atkins, J. (Inver Grove Heights)	n	O	y	n	y	O	n	n	y	0	18
R	35A	Beard, M. (Shakopee)	Y	U	N	Y	y	U	Y	Y	y	71	75
D	51B	Bernardy, C. (Fridley)	n	S	y	n	y	S	n	n	y	0	32
D	59A	Biernat, L. (Minneapolis)	n	E	y	n	--	E	--	n	y	-4	21
R	12B	Blaine, G. (Little Falls)	n		y	Y	y		Y	Y	N	57	56
R	19B	Borrell, D. (Waverly)	Y	V	N	Y	y	V	Y	Y	N	86	86
R	26B	Boudreau, L. (Faribault)	n	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	Y	y	57	71
R	29B	Bradley, F. (Rochester)	n	T	N	Y	y	T	Y	Y	N	71	68
R	25A	Brod, L. (New Prague)	Y	E	N	Y	y	E	Y	Y	y	71	71
R	35B	Buesgens, M. (Jordan)	Y		N	Y	N		Y	Y	N	100	88
D	45B	Carlson, L. (Crystal)	n		y	n	y		n	n	y	0	19
D	61A	Clark, K. (Minneapolis)	n		--	n	y		n	n	--	-4	14
R	24B	Cornish, T. (Good Thunder)	Y		N	Y	y		Y	Y	y	71	75
R	25B	Cox, R. (Northfield)	n	N	N	Y	y	N	n	n	y	29	43
R	31B	Davids, G. (Preston)	n	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	Y	N	71	72
D	62A	Davnie, J. (Minneapolis)	n		y	n	y		n	n	y	0	20
R	49A	DeLaForest, C. (Andover)	Y	H	N	Y	y	H	Y	Y	y	71	79
R	29A	Demmer, R. (Hayfield)	n	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	Y	N	71	75
R	28A	Dempsey, J. (Red Wing)	n	U	N	Y	y	U	Y	Y	y	57	70
D	6A	Dill, D. (Crane Lake)	n	S	y	n	y	S	Y	Y	y	29	45
R	27A	Dorman, D. (Albert Lea)	n	E	y	n	y	E	Y	n	N	29	57
D	23B	Dorn, J. (Mankato)	n		y	Y	y		n	Y	y	29	27
R	17A	Eastlund, R. (Isanti)	Y	V	N	Y	y	V	Y	Y	N	86	70
D	2A	Eken, K. (Twin Valley)	n	O	N	n	y	O	Y	Y	y	43	47
D	58B	Ellison, K. (Minneapolis)	n	T	y	n	y	T	n	n	y	0	15
D	64A	Entenza, M. (St. Paul)	n	E	y	n	--	E	n	n	y	-2	17
R	41A	Erhardt, R. (Edina)	n		N	Y	y		n	n	y	29	53
R	16A	Erickson, S. (Princeton)	Y		N	Y	y		Y	Y	N	86	79
R	21B	Finstad, B. (New Ulm)	Y		N	Y	y		Y	Y	N	86	81
R	4A	Fuller, D. (Bemidji)	n		N	Y	y		Y	Y	N	71	62
R	37A	Gerlach, C. (Apple Valley)	Y	N	N	Y	y	N	Y	Y	y	71	84
D	50A	Goodwin, B. (Columbia Hts)	--	O	y	n	y	O	n	n	y	-2	27
D	54A	Greiling, M. (Roseville)	n		y	n	y		n	Y	y	14	19
R	24A	Gunther, B. (Fairmont)	n	H	N	Y	y	H	Y	Y	N	71	66
R	47A	Haas, B. (Champlin)	n	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	Y	N	71	74
R	48A	Hackbarth, T. (Cedar)	n	U	y	Y	y	U	Y	Y	y	43	67
R	22B	Harder, E. (Jackson)	--	S	N	Y	y	S	--	Y	N	76	68
D	66B	Hausman, A. (St. Paul)	n	E	y	--	y	E	n	n	y	-2	6
R	13A	Heidgerken, B. (Freeport)	Y		y	Y	y		Y	Y	N	71	71
D	46B	Hilstrom, D. (Brooklyn Center)	--	V	y	n	y	V	n	n	--	-4	25
D	8B	Hilty, B. (Finlayson)	n	O	y	Y	y	O	n	n	y	14	18
R	36A	Holberg, M. (Lakeville)	Y	T	N	Y	N	T	Y	Y	N	100	87
R	34B	Hoppe, J. (Chaska)	n	E	N	Y	y	E	Y	Y	y	57	64
D	60B	Hornstein, F. (Minneapolis)	n		y	n	y		n	n	y	0	11
R	4B	Howes, L. (Walker)	n		N	Y	y		Y	Y	y	57	62
D	7A	Huntley, T. (Duluth)	n		y	n	y		n	n	y	0	18
R	54B	Jacobson, C. (Vadnais Hts)	Y		N	Y	N		Y	Y	N	100	81
D	7B	Jaros, M. (Duluth)	n	N	y	n	y	N	n	n	y	0	7
R	43A	Johnson, J. (Plymouth)	n	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	Y	y	57	75
D	67B	Johnson, S. (St. Paul)	n		y	n	y		n	n	y	0	20
D	13B	Juhnke, A. (Willmar)	n	H	y	Y	y	H	Y	n	N	43	41
D	59B	Kahn, P. (Minneapolis)	n	O	y	n	y	O	n	n	y	0	9
D	60A	Kelliher, M. (Minneapolis)	n	U	y	n	y	U	n	n	y	0	23
R	56B	Klinzing, K. (Woodbury)	n	E	N	Y	y	E	Y	Y	y	57	75
R	15A	Knoblach, J. (St. Cloud)	n		N	Y	y		Y	Y	N	71	70
D	20B	Koenen, L. (Maynard)	n	V	N	Y	y	V	Y	Y	y	57	55
R	34A	Kohls, P. (Victoria)	Y	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	Y	y	71	79
R	53A	Krinkie, P. (Shoreview)	Y	T	N	Y	N	T	Y	Y	N	100	87
R	30B	Kuisle, W. (Rochester)	n	E	N	Y	y	E	Y	Y	N	71	80
R	9A	Lanning, M. (Moorhead)	n		N	Y	y		Y	Y	y	57	64
D	63B	Larson, D. (Bloomington)	n		y	Y	y		Y	n	y	29	38

HOUSE

Pty	Dist.	Name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	'04%	C%
D	44B	Latz, R. (St. Louis Park)	n		y	n	y		n	n	y	0	15
D	40B	Lenczewski, A. (Bloomington)	n	N	N	Y	y	N	Y	n	y	43	56
D	66A	Lesch, J. (St. Paul)	n	O	y	n	N	O	n	n	--	15	22
D	1B	Lieder, B. (Crookston)	n		y	n	y		Y	Y	N	43	31
R	2B	Lindgren, D. (Bagley)	Y	H	N	Y	y	H	Y	Y	y	71	73
R	32A	Lindner, A. (Corcoran)	Y	O	N	Y	N	O	Y	Y	N	100	92
R	56A	Lipman, E. (Lake Elmo)	Y	U	N	Y	y	U	Y	Y	y	71	73
R	22A	Magnus, D. (Slayton)	n	S	N	Y	y	S	Y	Y	N	71	75
D	67A	Mahoney, T. (St. Paul)	n	E	y	n	y	E	n	n	y	0	25
D	65B	Mariani, C. (St. Paul)	n		y	n	y		n	n	y	0	8
D	9B	Marquart, P. (Dilsworth)	n	V	N	Y	y	V	Y	Y	N	71	58
R	57B	McNamara, D. (Hastings)	n	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	n	y	43	57
R	53B	Meslow, D. (White Bear Lake)	n	T	N	Y	y	T	--	Y	y	48	56
D	58A	Mullery, J. (Minneapolis)	n	E	y	n	y	E	n	n	--	-2	23
D	6B	Murphy, M. (Hermantown)	n		y	n	y		n	Y	y	14	25
R	30A	Nelson, C. (Rochester)	n		N	Y	y		Y	Y	y	57	61
D	46A	Nelson, M. (Brooklyn Park)	n		y	n	y		n	n	y	0	22
R	17B	Nelson, P. (Lindstrom)	n		N	Y	y		Y	Y	y	57	64
R	18A	Newman, S. (Hutchinson)	n	S	N	Y	y	S	Y	Y	y	57	57
R	10A	Nornes, B. (Fergus Falls)	n	N	N	Y	y	N	Y	Y	N	71	65
R	47B	Olsen, S. (Brooklyn Park)	n	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	Y	--	65	72
R	16B	Olson, M. (Big Lake)	Y		N	Y	N		Y	Y	N	100	92
D	15B	Opatz, J. (St. Cloud)	n	H	y	Y	y	H	n	n	y	14	40
R	45A	Osterman, L. (New Hope)	n	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	Y	y	57	66
D	11B	Otremba, M. (Long Prairie)	n	U	y	Y	y	U	Y	Y	y	43	52
D	52B	Otto, R. (Stillwater)	n	S	y	Y	y	S	Y	n	y	29	30
R	37B	Ozment, D. (Rosemount)	n	E	N	Y	y	E	Y	Y	y	57	64
R	42B	Paulsen, E. (Eden Prairie)	Y		N	Y	y		Y	Y	y	71	84
D	64B	Paymar, M. (St. Paul)	n	V	y	n	y	V	n	n	y	0	17
D	31A	Pelowski, G. (Winona)	n	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	Y	y	57	38
R	1A	Penas, M. (Badger)	n	T	N	Y	y	T	Y	Y	N	71	58
D	20A	Peterson, A. (Madison)	--	E	N	n	y	E	Y	Y	y	48	42
R	40A	Powell, D. (Burnsville)	Y		N	Y	y		Y	Y	y	71	78
D	39A	Pugh, T. (S. St. Paul)	n		y	n	y		n	n	y	0	27
R	44A	Rhodes, J. (St. Louis Park)	n		y	Y	y		n	n	y	14	36
D	5A	Rukavina, T. (Virginia)	n		y	n	y		n	n	N	14	15
R	26A	Ruth, C. (Owatonna)	n	N	N	Y	y	N	Y	Y	y	57	58
R	50B	Samuelson, C. (New Brighton)	n	O	y	Y	y	O	Y	Y	y	43	61
R	41B	Seagren, A. (Bloomington)	n		y	--	y		Y	Y	y	31	69
R	21A	Seifert, M. (Marshall)	Y	H	N	Y	y	H	Y	Y	N	86	76
D	5B	Sertich, A. (Chisholm)	n	O	y	n	y	O	n	n	N	14	33
R	14A	Severson, D. (Sauk Rapids)	Y	U	y	Y	--	U	Y	Y	N	81	76
D	57A	Sieben, K. (Newport)	n	S	y	n	y	S	n	n	y	0	22
R	10B	Simpson, D. (New York Mills)	n	E	N	Y	y	E	Y	Y	y	57	68
D	55B	Slawik, N. (Maplewood)	n		y	n	y		n	n	y	0	26
R	33A	Smith, S. (Mound)	Y	V	N	Y	y	V	Y	Y	y	71	80
R	8B	Soderstrom, J. (Mora)	Y	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	Y	y	71	75
D	3B	Solberg, L. (Bovey)	n	T	y	n	y	T	n	n	N	14	20
R	14B	Stang, D. (Cold Spring)	n	E	N	Y	y	E	Y	Y	N	71	72
R	36B	Strachan, S. (Farmington)	n		N	Y	y		--	Y	y	48	60
R	28B	Sviggum, S. (Kenyon)	n		N	Y	y		Y	Y	y	57	78
R	23A	Swenson, H. (Nicollet)	Y		N	Y	y		Y	Y	y	71	60
R	33B	Sykora, B. (Excelsior)	n		y	--	y		Y	Y	y	31	63
D	65A	Thao, C. (St. Paul)	n	N	y	n	y	N	n	n	y	0	3
D	63A	Thissen, P. (Minneapolis)	n	O	y	Y	y	O	n	n	y	14	25
R	49B	Tingelstad, K. (Andover)	n		y	Y	y		Y	--	y	31	66
R	18B	Urdahl, D. (Grove City)	n	H	N	Y	y	H	Y	Y	y	57	66
R	52A	Vandever, R. (Forest Lake)	Y	O	N	Y	y	O	Y	Y	y	71	76
D	62B	Wagenius, J. (Minneapolis)	n	U	y	n	y	U	n	n	y	0	13
D	61B	Walker, N. (Minneapolis)	n	S	y	n	y	S	n	n	y	0	16
R	12A	Walz, D. (Brainerd)	n	E	N	Y	y	E	Y	Y	y	57	61
R	38B	Wardlow, L. (Eagan)	n		N	Y	y		Y	Y	N	71	75
D	55A	Wasiluk, S. (Maplewood)	n	V	y	n	y	V	Y	n	y	14	29
R	51A	Westerberg, A. (Blaine)	Y	O	y	Y	y	O	Y	Y	y	57	67
R	11A	Westrom, T. (Elbow Lake)	n	T	N	Y	y	T	Y	Y	N	71	67
R	38A	Wilkin, T. (Eagan)	Y	E	N	Y	y	E	Y	Y	N	86	87
R	32B	Zellers, C. (Maple Grove)	Y		N	Y	y		Y	Y	N	86	82